

The Prominence of Preservative Counterbalancing in Parenteral Formulations for Sterilization Investigation

Surya Sumanth G¹, Hindustan Abdul Ahad^{1*}, ThanmayadivyaKumbarthi¹,
Haranath Chintaginjala¹, Manjoor Ahamad Syed²

¹Department of Industrial Pharmacy, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research (RIPER)
- Autonomous, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

²Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Raghavendra Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research
(RIPER)- Autonomous, Anantapur, Andhra Pradesh, India.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: abdulhindustan@gmail.com

Abstract - Anti-microbial preservatives (AMPs) has an important part in preventing the growth of microbes in multiple-dose parenteral formulations (PFs). Chemical preservation cannot keep products from spoiling, but they slow the spoiling process caused by microorganisms. Along with preservatives several excipients like buffers, polymers, antioxidantsetc., are added in the formulation. The preservative in the recovery agar or parenteral could artificially decrease the survival of viable cells thus the presence of preservative interfere with the sterility of PFs. It is important to counterbalance this residual activity to get accurate counts of survivors. The methods for inhibition of residual biocide are dilution and neutralization (dilution, chemical embarrassment, washing, and filtration) of the biocide. Neutralizer should inhibit the activity of AMPs and it should not become toxic later combining with the API. Several chemicals are used to inhibit the AMPs. The purpose of this research was to study the neutralization efficacy on a range of AMPs and its possible deadliness for microbes that are used for antimicrobial preservation testing.

Keywords: microbes, sterility, preservative, technique

INTRODUCTION:

The dosage forms which are given by bypassing the alimentary canal are called parenteral formulations (PFs) which have been using worldwide. PFs gives immediate action compares to oral dosage forms. PFs are available as a single dose and multiple-dose. Single-dose PFs do not require Anti-Microbial Preservatives (AMPs), whereas multi-dose formulations need them to encounter the growth of microbes. These preservatives diminish the growth of microbes which unintentionally enters into the containers during product withdrawal [1]. Multi-dose PFs have advantages over single-dose PFs viz., minimal product wastage, doses may be withdrawn from the container over some time without the microbial risks and require less packing material (both primary and secondary).

IMPORTANCE OF PRESERVATIVES

AMPs have been used since prehistoric times. Salt, sugar, vinegar and diatomaceous earth are preservatives, whereas freezing, pickling, smoking, and salting are preserving processes which were traditionally adopted [2]. The product with greater water content is a good environment for microbial growth essential for avoiding alteration and degradation by microorganisms during storage. Water containing PFs shelf life can be increased by using AMPs [3].

In pharmaceutical firms, a suitable AMPs is to be added to in all multiple dose PFsto inhibit the development of microbes which were accidentally introduced during the withdrawal of individual doses [4]. During filling operations if there is any inadvertent breach of asepsis, micro-organisms could be introduced and to prevent those AMPs may be added as a sterility assurance method to single-dose PFsthat are not terminally sterilized [5]. AMPs should not be used in formulations like injections into cerebrospinal fluids, eye or heart. The most common classical preservative agents are the weak organic acids viz., acetic, lactic, benzoic and sorbic acids [6]. AMPsretain consistency and texture of the product and also improve their nutritional possessions [7]. The common categories of additives [8] used in PFswere shown in **table 1**.

Table 1. Excipients used in parenteral formulations

| Excipient | Role |
|------------------|---|
| Antioxidants | Protect the therapeutic agent in formulation from oxidative degradation |
| Buffers | Uphold essential pH |
| Chelating agents | Bind the traces of heavy metals |
| Preservatives | Prevent growth of microbes |
| Polymers | Control/prolong drug delivery |
| Solubilizers | Enhance active ingredient solubility |
| Solvents | To dissolve the active drug and other excipients in the formulation |
| Tonicity agents | Diminish the pain of injection |

THE NEED OF PRESERVATIVES NEUTRALIZATION

Sterility testing of PFs maybe test carried out by filtering by membrane or by direct inoculation of the test sample into the culture media with the product to be examined [9]. In the later technique direct inoculation of a sample in a culture medium, and gestated for specifies a period for the cases of contamination [10]. If the PFs containing AMPs, which restrict with the real readings. In such cases, nullification of AMPs present in PFs is very necessary. It is necessary to neutralize this residual activity of AMPs in PFs. Dilution and chemical neutralization of the AMPs are the techniques used for inhibition of residual AMPs.

COUNTERBALANCING METHOD

First AMPs activity should be efficiently inhibited by a neutralizer, secondly the neutralizer should be nontoxic to the control microbes and lastly a toxic derivative should not form after the reaction [12-14]. In general neutralizers are used after suitable dilution of PF. AMPs which can be neutralized by dilution or addition of chemicals was represented in **table 2** [11], deactivated them by changing the pH was shown in **table 3** and the adsorbents to neutral was listed in **table 4**.

Table 2. List of preservatives and commonly used chemical or method for neutralization

| Antimicrobial preservatives | | Recommended neutralizing agents |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Category | Example | |
| Alcohol | Benzyl alcohol | Dilution and Non-ionic surfactants (E.g., Polysorbates) |
| Aldehyde | Glutaraldehyde | Dilution, Glycine Sodium bisulfite, Sodium sulphite, and Sodium thioglycolate |
| Antiseptic | Chlorhexidine | Non-ionic surfactants (E.g., polysorbates), Lecithin and anionic surfactants |
| Formaldehyde donors | Imidazolidinyl urea | Dilution, Protein, Gelatine, Sodium bisulfite, Histamine, Histidine, Non-ionic surfactants (polysorbates) and Lecithin |
| Isothiazolinones | Methylisothiazolinone | Dilution, Amines, Sulphites, Sodium bisulfite, Sodium thioglycollate, and Mercaptants |
| Mineral acids | Sulphuric and hydrochloric acids | Increasing pH and peptones |
| Nitrocompounds | Bronopol | Sulfhydryl compounds (E.g., Cysteine, thioglycollate, thiosulfate, and metabisulfite) |
| Organic acid preservatives | Benzoic acid and sorbic acid | Non-ionic surfactants (polysorbates), and increasing pH |
| Parabens | Methyl and propyl parabens | Lecithin, and Non-ionic surfactants (E.g., Polysorbates) |
| Phenolic compounds | Phenyl phenol, chlorophenol, cresols | Non-ionic surfactants (E.g., Polysorbates), Lecithin |
| Quaternary ammonium compounds | Benzalkonium chloride, benzethonium chloride | Lecithin, non-ionic surfactants (E.g., Polysorbates), protein and anionic surfactants |

Table 3. Effect of pH on Preservative Efficacy

| Name of the preservative | | pH of optimum activity |
|--------------------------|--|------------------------|
| Category | Example | |
| Aminobenzoate esters | Methyl Parabens and Propyl Paraben | 4-8 |
| Aryl acids, | Benzoic acid and salts | <4.5 |
| Alkyl/Aryl alcohol | Benzyl alcohol, Chlorobutanol, 2-ethoxy ethanol | <5.0 |
| Alkyl acids | Propionic acid | 3.9 |
| Alkyl acids | Sorbic acid/salts | 4.5 |
| Biguanides | Chlorhexidine | 5-7 |
| Formaldehyde donators | Bronopol | 5-8 |
| Formaldehyde donators | Imidurea | 3-9 |
| Nitrates | Thiomersal | Acidic pH |
| Phenols | Chloroxylenol | Little pH effect |
| Phenols | Chlorocresol | 4-9 |
| Phenyl mercuric salts | Acetate, borate | 5-8 |
| Phenolic compounds | Phenol | 4-9 |
| Quaternary compounds | ammonium Cetrimide | 7-9 |
| Quaternary compounds | ammonium Benzalkonium chloride and Benzethonium chloride | 4-10 |

Table4. Preservatives with their adsorbents

| Preservative | | Adsorbent/substrate |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|
| Category | Example | |
| Cationic surfactant | Benzalkonium chloride | Hypromellose and Filter membranes |
| Carboxylic acid | Benzoic acid | Kaolin |
| Alcohol | Benzyl alcohol and chlorobutanol | Polyethylene and Natural Rubber |
| Quaternary compounds | ammonium Cetrimide | Bentonite |
| Biguanide | Chlorhexidine | Various polymeric excipients, E.g., Carboxymethyl Cellulose |
| Esters | p-aminobenzoate esters | Ion exchange resins and some plastics |
| Alcohol | Phenoxyethanol | Poly Vinyl Chloride and Cellulose based excipients |
| Salts | Phenyl mercuric salts | Suspending agents |
| Salts | Sorbic acid/sorbates | Polypropylene,PVC,Polyethylene |
| Organo compound | mercury Thiomersal | Polyethylene,plastics, and rubber |

CONCLUSION:

This extensive study gives a clear picture about the various methodologies adopted for the neutralization of preservatives in parenteral formulations before they tested for their sterility.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

We gratefully thank Dr. Y. Padmanabha Reddy, Principal, RIPER, Ananthapuramu for his support and encouragement.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST:

None of the authors of this paper has a financial or personal relationship with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence or bias the content of the paper.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Matthews BR. Preservation and preservative efficacy testing: European perspectives Eur J Parent Pharm Sci. 2003; 8(4): 99-107.
- [2] Japanese Pharmacopeia, General information: 19. Preservative Effectiveness Test, 15th Edition, Society of Japanese Pharmacopeia, Tokyo, Japan.
- [3] LachmanL, Liberman HA, Kaniz JL, Editions, The Theory and practice of industrial pharmacy Bombay, Varghese publication House, 1986; pp 673-675.
- [4] AkersMJ, Larrimor DS, Guazzao MD, Parenteral Quality control, New York, Marcel Dekker, 2006; pp 1-183.
- [5] Myer BK, Ni A, Hu B and Shi L. Antimicrobial Preservative Use in Parenteral Products: Past and Present, JPharmSci. 2007; 96: 3155-3167.
- [6] English DJ. Factors in selecting and testing preservatives in product formulations. In: Orth, Kabara DS, Denyer JJ, SP, and Tan SK, eds. Cosmetic and Drug Microbiology. Informa Healthcare, New York, 2006; pp. 57-108.
- [7] Anon. Disinfectants and Antiseptics. Pharmacopeial Forum, 2003; 29(3): 726-735.
- [8] Owen SC, Edetic Acid Monograph, in: Rowe RC, Shesky PJ, Weller PJ. (Eds.), Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients, Fifth Edition, Pharmaceutical Press, 2006; pp. 260-263.
- [9] Sutton SW. Neutralizer evaluations as control experiments for antimicrobial efficacy Test. In: JM. Ascenzi ed. Handbook of Disinfectants and Antiseptics. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York. 1987; pp. 43-61.
- [10] Bailey JE and Nikitakis JM. CTFA Quality Assurance Guidelines. The Personal Care Products Council, Washington, DC. 2007.
- [11] Singer S. The use of preservative neutralizers in diluents and plating media. Cosmetics and Toiletries. 1987; 102(12): 55-60.
- [12] Zhao J, Yang Z, Wang M, Lu Y and Yang Z. Electrochemical Evaluation of the Inhibitory Effects of Weak Acids on *Zygosaccharomyces bailii*, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2004; 52: 7246-7250.
- [13] Moser Cand Mayer B. Comparison of compendia antimicrobial effectiveness tests: A review AAPS pharm Sci. Tech, 2011; 12(1): 222-226.
- [14] Sutton SVW and Porter D. Development of the Antimicrobial Effectiveness test as UST chapter-51, PDA Jof PharmSci. and Tech, 2002; 56: 300-311.